

1

2

3

4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6

7 DENNIS F., et al.,

8

Plaintiffs,

9

v.

10

AETNA LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,

11

Defendant.

Case No. 12-cv-02819-MMC

12  
13 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'  
14 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
15 TO SUBMIT REPLY MEMORANDUM

16 Re: Dkt. No. 192

17

18 Before the Court is plaintiffs' "Motion for Extension of Time to Submit Reply  
19 Memorandum," filed October 14, 2016, by which plaintiffs seek leave to file their reply  
20 memorandum regarding the standard of review three days "later than the due date of  
21 October 14, 2016."<sup>1</sup> Defendant has filed a response thereto. Having read and  
22 considered the parties' respective submissions, the Court rules as follows.

23

Although, as defendant correctly observes, the reply memorandum was due  
September 30, 2016 (see Order filed July 22, 2016), and not October 14, 2016, the Court  
finds it appropriate to exercise its discretion to allow the requested filing, no prejudice to  
defendant having been shown.

25

Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion is hereby GRANTED.

26

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27

Dated: October 19, 2016

28

  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup> On October 16, 2016, plaintiffs filed the above-referenced reply memorandum.